

# Proposed Update of Freshwater Cadmium Water Quality Criteria

Jamie Heisig-Mitchell

VAMWA

April 29, 2009

# Background

- EPA issued an update in 2001 to the Cadmium criteria promulgated in 1984
- Since that time, there have been numerous studies adding to the body of knowledge regarding cadmium toxicity in freshwater organisms

# Recent Updates/Literature Reviews

- 2004: Chadwick Environmental Consultants
- 2006: USGS
- 2008: GEI Consultants (formerly CEC)

# Options

- Revise cadmium criteria based on EPA 2001 Cadmium update
- Revise cadmium criteria based on USGS 2006 report
- Revise cadmium criteria based on GEI 2008 report
- Recalculate using literature provided by USGS and GEI reports

# Resulting Criteria

| HARDNESS (mg/L)                       | 25   | 50   | 100  |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Current CMC                           | 0.82 | 1.8  | 3.9  |
| EPA 2001 CMC                          | 0.52 | 1.03 | 2.01 |
| CEC 2004 CMC                          | 0.79 | 1.4  | 2.6  |
| USGS 2006 CMC                         | 0.69 | 1.2  | 2.2  |
| GEI 2008 CMC                          | 0.74 | 1.4  | 2.5  |
| CEC 2004 CMC - Commercially Impt (CI) | 0.51 | 0.93 | 1.7  |
| USGS 2006 CMC – CI                    | 0.42 | 0.75 | 1.3  |
| GEI 2008 CMC - CI                     | 0.51 | 0.93 | 1.7  |
| Current CCC                           | 0.38 | 0.66 | 1.1  |
| EPA 2001 CCC                          | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.25 |
| CEC 2004 CCC                          | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.42 |
| USGS 2006 CCC                         | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.55 |
| GEI 2008 CCC                          | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.43 |

# Differences – Hardness Correction

|          | <b>ACUTE CALCULATION</b>                    |
|----------|---|
| EPA      | $e^{1.0166\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-3.924}$  |
| USGS-CI  | $e^{0.8368\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-3.5602}$ |
| GEI      | $e^{0.9151\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-3.249}$  |
| GEI - CI | $e^{0.9151\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-3.624}$  |
|          | <b>CHRONIC CALCULATION</b>                  |
| EPA      | $e^{0.7409\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-4.719}$  |
| USGS     | $e^{0.6247\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-3.384}$  |
| GEI      | $e^{0.7998\{\ln(\text{hardness})\}-4.426}$  |

# Differences – Hardness Correction

| ACUTE |  |
|-------|--|
| USGS  | Added amphipod and bull trout data for 2 new species. Used new data for an additional 5 species.                     |
| GEI   | Added data for <i>O. mykiss</i> and <i>S. trutta</i> (2 new species). Used juvenile and fry data for fathead minnow. |

|           | Pooled Slope | R <sup>2</sup> |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| EPA 2001  | 1.0166       | 0.97           |
| USGS 2006 | 0.8368       | 0.97           |
| GEI 2008  | 0.9151       | 0.69           |

# Differences – Hardness Correction

| CHRONIC |   |
|---------|---|
| USGS    | Added 23 additional data points to EPA data set (19 from 4 new species). Did not use fathead minnow data. |
| GEI     | Added 5 additional data points to EPA data set (4 from 1 new species – <i>O. mykiss</i> ).                |

|           | Pooled Slope | R <sup>2</sup> |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| EPA 2001  | 0.7409       | 0.99           |
| USGS 2006 | 0.6247       | 0.99           |
| GEI 2008  | 0.7998       | 0.72           |

# Differences – Species/Genera

|           | Species                        | Genera                         |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Acute     |                                |                                |
| EPA 2001  | 66                             | 55                             |
| USGS 2006 | 69 (2 new in 4 most sensitive) | 57                             |
| GEI 2008  | 70 (1 new in 4 most sensitive) | 58                             |
| Chronic   |                                |                                |
| EPA 2001  | 21                             | 16                             |
| USGS 2006 | 28 (3 new in 4 most sensitive) | 21 (2 new in 4 most sensitive) |
| GEI 2008  | 22 (1 new in 4 most sensitive) | 17 (1 new in 4 most sensitive) |

# 4 Most Sensitive - Acute

| EPA (GMAV, SMAV)                       | USGS (GMAV, SMAV)                    | GEI (GMAV, SMAV)                     |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. <i>S. trutta</i> (1.613,1.613)      | 1. <i>O. clarki</i> (2.02,1.50)      | 1. <i>S. fontinalis</i> (1.91,<1.76) |
| 2. <i>S. fontinalis</i> (<1.963,1.791) | 2. <i>S. confluentus</i> (2.13,2.13) | 2. <i>C. bairdi</i> (2.16,2.16)      |
| 3. <i>M. saxatilis</i> (2.925,2.925)   | 3. <i>S. trutta</i> (2.61,2.61)      | 3. <i>S. trutta</i> (2.88,2.88)      |
| 4. <i>O. mykiss</i> (3.836,2.108)      | 4. <i>C. bairdi</i> (2.61,2.56)      | 4. <i>M. saxatilis</i> (3.16,3.16)   |

# Differences – New Data (Acute)

| USGS   | GEI   |
|--|---|
| Added approximately 60 studies to overall species database.  | Added 21 studies to overall species database  |
| Added approximately 19 studies and 51 data points to four most sensitive species (most for <i>Oncorhynchus</i> ) – 2 new genera and 3 new species ( <i>Cottus</i> (2 spp) and <i>Salvelinus clarki</i> ) | Added 2 studies and 2 data points to four most sensitive species - 1 new genus and species ( <i>Cottus bairdi</i> ) |

# 4 Most Sensitive - Chronic

| EPA (GMCV, SMCV)                     | USGS (GMCV, SMCV)                    | GEI (GMCV, SMCV)                |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. <i>H. azteca</i> (0.2747,0.2747)  | 1. <i>H. azteca</i> (0.33,0.33)      | 1. <i>H. azteca</i> (0.26,0.26) |
| 2. <i>D. magna</i> (<0.3974,<0.3974) | 2. <i>C. bairdi</i> (0.84,0.84)      | 2. <i>C. bairdi</i> (1.07,1.07) |
| 3. <i>O. mykiss</i> (2.443,1.308)    | 3. <i>G. fasciatus</i> (1.00,1.00)   | 3. <i>D. magna</i> (1.33,0.49)  |
| 4. <i>C. tentans</i> (2.804,2.804)   | 4. <i>S. confluentus</i> (1.25,0.77) | 4. <i>O. mykiss</i> (2.31,1.05) |

# Differences – New Data (Chronic)

| USGS  | GEI  |
|---|--|
| Added approximately 37 studies to overall species database.   | Added 10 studies to overall species database   |
| Added approximately 11 studies and 13 data points to four most sensitive species – 2 new genera ( <i>Cottus</i> and <i>Gammarus</i> ) | Added 2 studies and 2 data points to four most sensitive species – 1 new genus ( <i>Cottus</i> ) |

# Recalculation Combining USGS and GEI

| HARDNESS (mg/L) | 25   | 50   | 100  |
|-----------------|------|------|------|
| Current CMC     | 0.82 | 1.8  | 3.9  |
| EPA 2001 CMC    | 0.52 | 1.03 | 2.01 |
| CEC 2004 CMC    | 0.79 | 1.4  | 2.6  |
| USGS 2006 CMC   | 0.69 | 1.2  | 2.2  |
| GEI 2008 CMC    | 0.74 | 1.4  | 2.5  |
| USGS/GEI CMC    | 0.68 | 1.2  | 2.2  |
| USGS/GEI CCC    | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.55 |
| Current CCC     | 0.38 | 0.66 | 1.1  |
| EPA 2001 CCC    | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.25 |
| CEC 2004 CCC    | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.42 |
| USGS 2006 CCC   | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.55 |
| GEI 2008 CCC    | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.43 |

# Deviations from Guidelines

- USGS
  - Flow-through, renewal, and static tests all given equal priority
  - Did not accept test results based on unmeasured concentrations
  - Used shorter-term chronic tests if results were more sensitive or similar in sensitivity to life cycle tests